Preparation and Structure of Ditantalum Iodide Octaselenide, Ta, ISe,

BY PASCAL GRESSIER, LOUISETTE GUEMAS AND ALAIN MEERSCHAUT

Laboratoire de Chimie des Solides, LA 279, 2 rue de la Houssinière, 44072 Nantes CEDEX, France

(Received 28 January 1982; accepted 27 May 1982)

Abstract. $Ta_2 ISe_8$, $M_r = 1120.48$, tetragonal, 1422, $a = 9.531 (1), c = 12.824 (6) \text{ Å}, V = 1164.9 \text{ Å}^3, Z = 4,$ $D_m = 6.34, D_x = 6.39 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}, \mu(\text{Mo } K\alpha) = 49.3$ mm⁻¹. The structure was solved by means of Patterson and Fourier syntheses and refined to R = 0.057, $R_w = 0.044$, for 730 independent reflections $[I \ge 1]$ $1.5\sigma(I)$]. The structure consists of infinite [TaSe₄] chains which develop in a direction parallel to the caxis. I atoms are located between these chains. From a geometrical point of view, this compound presents a marked one-dimensional character.

Introduction. Very recently, great interest has developed in transition-metal trichalcogenides in relation to their more or less one-dimensional character. Indeed, their physical properties are strongly anisotropic and are well explained by the structural arrangement. These low-dimensional materials are of interest because they exhibit some of the best examples of such phenomena as 'Peierls transitions', chargedensity waves. etc.

From a structural consideration, Ta₂ISe₈ appears to be a very promising candidate in this field of research. The infinite $[TaSe_4]$ chains which develop in a direction parallel to the c axis are well separated from each other and give a pseudo one-dimensional character to this compound. This structure is closely related to that of Nb₃ISe₁₂ which we were able to synthesize and characterize before (Meerschaut, Palvadeau & Rouxel, 1977).

Experimental. Ta₂ISe₈ was prepared by direct combination of the elements in sealed silica tubes at temperatures ranging from 850 to 900 K. Black shiny needle-shaped crystals grow from the powder. Chemical analyses by an electron microprobe analyser of the grown crystals proved the correct formulation (% experimental: Ta = 33.4, I = 11.0, Se = 54.5; % theoretical: Ta = 32.30, I = 11.32, Se = 56.37). The space group and cell dimensions were determined from rotation, Weissenberg and precession photographs (Laue symmetry 4/mmm).

The intensity data were collected on a CAD-4 Nonius diffractometer from a specimen of dimensions $0.021 \times 0.025 \times 0.50$ mm, the longest along the c axis,

using graphite-monochromated Mo $K\alpha$ radiation. 2240 reflections (+h,+k,+l) were measured in the range 1 ° < $\theta < 40^{\circ}$ using an ω -scan mode. As a check on the mechanical and intensity stabilities, three reflexions for each control were selected (at every X-ray exposure hour for intensity control). The data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization factors and also for absorption: program ABSCOR in the SDP utility written by Frenz (1980); see Table 1.

The structure was solved from Patterson and Fourier maps and refined by full-matrix least squares minimizing the quantity $\sum w(|F_o| - |F_c|)^2$. The structure was further refined with anisotropic temperature factors assigned to all atoms. Refinement converged with R =0.057, $R_{w} = 0.044$. The 'goodness of fit' $\left[\sum w(\Delta F)^{2}\right]$ (NO - NV)]^{1/2} for the last cycle was 2.459 with NO = 730 and NV = 29 (NO and NV are respectively the number of observations and variables). A final difference Fourier map showed no significant features.

The scattering factors and corrections for the real and imaginary anomalous dispersion for neutral atoms

Table 1. Details of the intensity data collection and the least-squares refinement

Crystal faces	{110}, {110}, {100}, {110}, {110}, {110}, {110}, {110}, {110}, {110}, {101}, {100}, {1
Minimum and maximum transmission	28.38 and 41.21%
Systematic absence	$h + k + l \neq 2n$
Number of reflections used in final refinements, $I \ge 1.5\sigma(I)$	730
$R (= \sum F_{e} - F_{e} / \sum F_{e})$	0.057
$R_{w} \{= [\sum_{v} w(F_{o} - F_{c})^{2} \sum_{v} wF_{o}^{2}]^{1/2} \}$ Weighting scheme according to the pivot point	0.044 $A_2 = 135$ F_0 (max.) = 460
F(000)	1884

Table 2. Positional parameters and B_{eq} (Å²)

E.s.d.'s are given in parentheses.

$$B_{eq} = \frac{4}{3} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \beta_{ij} \mathbf{a}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{a}_{j}.$$

		x	У	Z	B_{eq}
Ta(1)	4(c)	0	1 <u>1</u>	0	1.0(1)
Ta(2)	4(d)	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	14	1.2(1)
I(1)	4(e)	0	Ō	0.1553 (3)	3.1 (3)
Se(1)	16(k)	0.1212 (2)	0.3120 (2)	0.8809 (2)	1.4 (1)
Se(2)	16(k)	0.2160 (2)	0.5449 (2)	0.8693 (2)	1.4 (1)

0567-7408/82/112877-03\$01.00 © 1982 International Union of Crystallography

were taken from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). An extinction parameter $g = 6.04 \times 10^{-7}$ was also refined.

All calculations were performed with SDP Enraf-Nonius programs written by Frenz (1980). The fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic thermal parameters, with their standard deviations, are given in Table 2.*

Discussion. Fig. 1 shows the projection of the structure on the *xyO* plane, partly illustrating the channels in the structure. There is an obvious analogy with Nb₃ISe₁₂ although the unit cell of Ta₂ISe₈ is two thirds that of Nb₃ISe₁₂. The Ta atoms (4Ta) form linear chains along the *c* axis (Fig. 2). In these chains metallic distances are all identical (3.206 Å) as Ta atoms occupy the 4(*c*) and 4(*d*) positions, respectively $0\frac{1}{2}0$, $0\frac{1}{21}$ and $0\frac{1}{24}$, $0\frac{13}{24}$. Interatomic distances and correlated e.s.d.'s are given in Table 3.

* Lists of structure factors and anisotropic thermal parameters have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 36966 (6 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.



Fig. 1. Structure projection on the xyO plane.



E.s.d.'s are given in parentheses.

$ \begin{array}{c} Ta(1) - Ta(2) \\ Ta(1) - Se(1^{1}) \\ - Se(1^{1}) \\ - Se(1^{10}) \end{array} \right\} $	3·206 (1) 2·623 (1)	$\begin{array}{c} Ta(2)-Se(2^{ i })\\-Se(2^{ i })\\-Se(2^{ i })\\-Se(2^{ i })\end{array}$	2.600 (1)
$ \begin{array}{c} -Se(1^{iv}) \\ -Se(2^{i}) \\ -Se(2^{ii}) \\ -Se(2^{iii}) \\ -Se(2^{iii}) \\ -Se(2^{iv}) \end{array} $	2.689 (1)	$ \begin{array}{c} \text{Ta(2)-Se(1^{iii})} \\ -\text{Se(1^{iv})} \\ -\text{Se(1^{v})} \\ -\text{Se(1^{vi})} \end{array} \right) $	2.713 (1)

Symmetry code: (i) x, y, z = 1; (ii) -x, 1 = y, z = 1; (iii) -x, y, 1 = z; (iv) x, 1 = y, 1 = z; (v) $\frac{1}{2} = y$, $\frac{1}{2} + x$, $z = \frac{1}{2}$; (vi) $y = \frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2} = x$, $z = \frac{1}{2}$.

The Ta-Ta distances between neighbouring chains are equal to the $a\sqrt{2}$ value *i.e.* 6.74 Å. Ta is coordinated to eight Se atoms in a slightly distorted rectangular antiprismatic arrangement. The Se atoms lie in planes approximately perpendicular to the c axis as is shown in Fig. 3. These planes (separated by the c/4 value) develop in a helicoidal way along the c axis. In the rectangular bases, the Se atoms are attributed to $(Se_2)^{2-}$ groups. Indeed, the corresponding Se–Se bonds are characteristic of such a pairing [for example Se(1)-Se(2) = 2.401 Å. The structure can be described as built up with [TaSe₄] chains, the I atoms being incorporated between these chains. These I atoms lead to some slight modifications of the Ta-Se bond lengths. The nearest neighbouring Se atoms to the I atom are attracted by it and, as a consequence, the Ta-Se distances become more elongated (Ta-Se =2.713 Å). This agrees with the fact that I is more electronegative than Se; thus the formal charge over Se perturbed atoms is less than -1' (as is represented by the $[Se_2]^{2-}$ pairs), which induces a weakening of the Ta-Se bond. Considering the I-I distance along the caxis (3.964 Å), we can envision isolated I atoms. This was suggested before with the Nb₃ISe₁₂ compound; it was assumed that this compound could be formulated



Fig. 2. Structure projection on the xOz plane. The atomic elevations ($\times 10^2$) are indicated.



Fig. 3. Antiprism |TaSe₈| projections.

as $2Nb^{4+}Nb^{5+}I^{-}6(Se_2)^{2-}$. Thus, the tantalum derivative may be formulated as $Ta^{4+}Ta^{5+}I^{-}4(Se_2)^{2-}$. The only difference between the two compounds is the metal-metal bond sequence. Nb₃ISe₁₂ exhibits one short (3.061 Å) and two long (3.252 Å) intermetallic distances, whereas no difference is observed with Ta_2ISe_8 . The sequence of long and short bonds in the metal-metal chain plays an essential role concerning the semi-conducting properties of these materials (Gressier, Meerschaut, Guemas, Rouxel & Monceau, 1982). More structural investigations are needed in this series of MY_xX_4 compounds (M = Nb, Ta; Y = Cl, Br, I; X = S, Se). These derivatives may provide a complete

set of compounds to support a critical discussion in the scope of a bond-density wave theory (Whangbo, 1982).

References

- FRENZ, B. (1980). Enraf-Nonius Structure Determination Package. Delft Univ. Press.
- GRESSIER, P., MEERSCHAUT, A., GUEMAS, L., ROUXEL, J. & MONCEAU, P. (1982). Synth. Met. To be submitted.
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Vol. IV. Birmingham: Kynoch Press.
- MEERSCHAUT, A., PALVADEAU, P. & ROUXEL, J. (1977). J. Solid State Chem. 20, 21–27.
- WHANGBO, M. H. (1982). J. Chem. Phys. In the press.

Acta Cryst. (1982). B38, 2879-2881

Di-µ-hydroxo-bis[aquasulfatobismuth(III)]

By M. GRAUNAR AND F. LAZARINI

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Natural Sciences and Technology, University Edvard Kardelj of Ljubljana, Murnikova 6, 61000 Ljubljana, Yugoslavia

(Received 24 March 1982; accepted 7 June 1982)

Abstract. $[Bi_2(H_2O)_2(SO_4)_2(OH)_2]$, monoclinic, $P2_1/n$, a = 6.021 (1), b = 13.363 (2), c = 6.495 (1) Å, $\beta = 112.94$ (1)°, $D_m = 4.62$, $D_x = 4.69$ Mg m⁻³, Z = 2; R = 0.063 for 853 observed diffractometer data. The structure consists of molecules in which an O atom of an SO₄²⁻ anion and a water molecule are bonded at relatively short distances to each Bi atom of a planar Bi₂(OH)₂⁴⁺ unit. The coordination sphere around the Bi atom is completed by two more O atoms of two different SO₄²⁻ anions at longer distances. The coordination polyhedron is a distorted octahedron with the stereochemically active electron lone pair. The molecules form a three-dimensional network.

Introduction. The systematic investigation of the hydrolysis of bismuth(III) nitrate (Lazarini, 1981) has been extended to study the chemical behavior and structure of bismuth basic sulfates. There are two compounds important in the scheme of the hydrolysis of bismuth(III) sulfate. The title compound seems to be the first solid product of the hydrolysis at pH below $1 \cdot 1$. Its formula is usually quoted in the literature as (BiOH)SO₄. H₂O. The crystal structure of an isomorphous selenium compound has been determined by Aurivillius (1964). The title compound reconverts in the suspension at pH above $1 \cdot 1$ after a few hours into the second product of the hydrolysis, $[Bi_2O(OH)_2]SO_4$.

The crystal structure of this compound has also been published by Aurivillius (1964). We decided to reinvestigate the crystal structures of both compounds to enable a precise comparison between the structures of bismuth basic nitrates and sulfates to be made. This paper concerns the crystal-structure analysis of the first product of hydrolysis, $[Bi_2(H_2O)_2(SO_4)_2(OH)_2]$, while the results of the crystal-structure analysis of the second product of the hydrolysis are given in the following paper (Golič, Graunar & Lazarini, 1982).

The crystals of the title compound were obtained by slow diffusion of water into an acid-saturated solution of bismuth(III) sulfate using a method described by Ozols (1950). A well developed crystal, selected for the diffraction measurements, was characterized by the following planes (in parentheses are the distances from the crystal faces to an arbitrary origin inside the crystal): $\pm(110)$ (0.045 mm), $\pm(110)$ (0.050 mm), (120) (0.068 mm), (121) (0.205 mm), (121) (0.180 mm) and $\pm(001)$ (0.240 mm).

The intensity data were collected at 293 (1) K with an Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer. Experimental conditions were: graphite-monochromatized Mo K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71069$ Å), $\omega - 2\theta$ scan, $\theta_{min} =$ 1°, $\theta_{max} = 25^\circ$, 2θ scan width = (1.0 + 0.6 tan $\theta)^\circ$, aperture = (2.5 + 0.9 tan θ) mm, maximum scan time 40 s, background = $\frac{1}{4}$ of the scan time at each of the